

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usdbo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,433	08/08/2001	Jun Koyama	12732-064001	4001 9952	
26171	7590 01/07/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			MENGISTU, AMARE		
	1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			2673		
	·		DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

·		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
			923,433	KOYAMA ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		Ama	are Mengistu	2673				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUN INSIGHT OF THE	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of th y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	cation.			
	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 07 Octobe	r 2003.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11,15,16,30-37,44-</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,12-14,1</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11,15,16,30-37,44-</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	7-29,38-43,49,55 48,50-54,70-72,7	5-69,73 and 79 is/ar 74-78,80 and 81 is/a	e withdrawn from consideration				
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific specific sheet is objected to the specific specific specific sheet specific sp	: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawirg the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeya required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1.	` '			
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•						
a)l 13)□ A si 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed Office action of the specific reference was included of CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign lattacknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lattacknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lattacknowledgment is made of a claim of the first series.	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC on for a list of the for domestic prior domestic priority do in the first sen onguage provision for domestic priority documents have documents ha	e been received. e been received in a cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies no rity under 35 U.S.C tence of the specific hal application has be rity under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage treceived. Is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data the proceived. Is \$ 120 and/or 121 since a spe	cation) Sheet. cific			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·			

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 9,12-14,17-29, 38-43,49-55-69,73,79 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected elected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of species I in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 3. This application contains claims 9,12-14,17-29,38-43,49,55-69,73,79 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 1-4,6,7,15-16,30-32,34-36,38-40,44-46,50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 3 of prior U.S. Patent No. 09/969,591. This is a double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2673

on rejected claim 1.

Claims 2-4, 6,7,15-16,30-32,34-36,38-40,44-46,50-52 are rejected since they depend on rejected claim 1.

- 6. Claims 1-4, 6,7,15-16,30-32,34-36,38-40,44-46,50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1,6,20, of prior U.S. Patent No. 10/067,884. This is a double patenting rejection.
- Claims 2-4, 6,7,15-16,30-32,34-36,38-40,44-46,50-52 are rejected since they depend on rejected claim 1.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6,7,15-16,30-32,34-36,38-40,44-46,50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 2,3,4,5,8,9 of prior U.S. Patent No. 09/919,832. This is a double patenting rejection.

 Claims 2-4, 6,7,14-16,30-32,34-36,38-40,44-46,50-52 are rejected since they depend

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claim 5 is recites the limitation "<u>said pixels stores digital signals</u>" in the last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/923,433

Art Unit: 2673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **NATANO MUTSUKO** et al (JP 41-0253941A) [see, fig.1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2-8,15,16, 30-37; 44-48; 50-54,70-72,74,76,80,81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **NATANO MUTSUKO** et al [JP 410253941A] in view of **Yokoyama** [us 2001/005193 A1].
- 13. In regard to claims 2-5,15,8,44-48, 70,74,76, 80; **NATANO MUTSUKO** (hereinafter **MUTSUKO**) discloses a liquid crystal display comprising pixels, wherein each of said pixels has n x m memory circuits [fig. 1(21,23)] and D/A converter [fig.1 (22)] for converting signals stored in said in one of the n x m memory circuits into analog signals [see, Abstract]. It is obvious that the memory circuits [fig.1 (21,23)] of **MUTSUKO** are formed over a glass substrate or a plastic substrate or a single crystal wafer, since LCD is made of one of these substrates.

Art Unit: 2673

MUTSUKO has failed to teach that the digital signals stored corresponding to m frames stored in the n x m memory circuits also silent about having a plurality of TFTs and their connection. The patent of **Yokoyama** clearly teaches that it is well known for a pixel to have one or more storage device to store n bit of digital signals corresponding to m frames [see, Abstract; pages 2-3, [0024 –0027]. Furthermore, **Yokoyama** teaches that the pixel having plurality of TFTs [fig.6 (400;(410 and 420))] wherein each of gate electrodes is connected to one of n gate signals lines [fig.6 (410; 420)], and each of said n TFTs has a source and drain region, one of which is connected to source signal line [fig.6 (410,420); (61)] and the other of which is connected to an input terminal of one of said n memory circuits [fig.6 (411);(710)].

Therefore; it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to combine a pixel having a plurality of memories to store digital signals as taught by **Yokoyama** into the display system of **MUTSUKO**, since this will allow to reduce the overall power consumption of the **MUTSUKO's** display system.

- 14. As to claims 6,7,30-37, 71-72,**MUTSUKO** teaches that the memory circuits and the D/A converter arranged to overlap a source/ gate signal lines [fig.1 (21,22,23)].
- 15. As to claim 16, 50-54; 75,81 **Yokoyama** teaches that the LCD can be used in a mobile phone [see, page 1 [0012]].

Art Unit: 2673

- 16. Claims 10,11, 77, 78, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **MUTSUKO** in view of **Yokoyama** as applied to claims 1,5,8,70 and 76 above, and further in view of **Kinoshita et al** (5,771,031).
- 17. As to claims 10 and 11, **MUTSUKO** as modified by **Yokoyama** teaches LCD device a pixel having a plurality of memory circuits and D/A converter, but has failed to teach a source driving circuit including a shift registers, a first and a second latch circuits to hold n bit digital signals. However; the patent of **Kinoshita et al** is cited to teach that it is conventional for LCD source signal driving circuit to have a shift registers [fig.3 (SR (100 bits))], a first and a second latch to hold n bit digital signals from the shift registers [fig.3 (LA1; LA2)].
- 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to incorporate the shift registers and the first and the second latches of **Kinoshita et al** into the display system of **MUTSUKO**, because this is an advantage to transfer data at a higher speed and reduce the costs for manufacturing a flat panel display.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amare Mengistu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, T-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2673

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Amare Mengistu// Primary Examiner Art Unit 2673

A.M

Jan.2, 2004